

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,342	09/30/2003		Tao Hong	VAL 181 P2	5785
34232	7590	11/27/2006	EXAMINER		INER
		KINS, ESQ.	KOCZO JR, MICHAEL		
2310 FAR H DAYTON,		-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ				3746	

DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/675,342	HONG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Michael Koczo, Jr.	3746
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONET	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	r election requirement. f. a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
		71011011 01 1011111 1 0 1021
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04-19-04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 1, para. 0003, line 2, the figure number is missing.

On page 3, para. 0015, line 1, "Fig. 3" should read --Fig. 2--.

On page 4, para. 0015, line 5, "44d" should read --44b--.

Uniform terminology must be used throughout the specification. For example, the specification refers to ribs 20 and vanes 20.

Appropriate correction is required.

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: there is no basis in the specification for "side" of the housing (see claim 2, for example) and "cover" (claim 24).

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Numeral 17 (page 3, para. 0014, line 5).

In figure 3, the lead line of numeral 20 does not identify the ribs or vanes.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing

Art Unit: 3746

sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 14, 15 and 21 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Uniform terminology must be maintained throughout the claims. For example, claim 1 recites a "housing" and a "motor case".

In claim 2, line 1, --said-- should be inserted preceding "housing".

In claims 6, 7, 14 and 15, there is no antecedent basis for "said area outside said channel".

In claim 9, line 8, there is no antecedent basis for "said housing".

Claim 15 is a duplicate of claim 14 and is therefore redundant.

In claim 21, the step of "providing a hub" is a double inclusion of the same step as recited in claim 19.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, reciting that the ribs are situated "in operative relationship with said side" does not clearly set forth the structural relationship between the ribs and the side.

Claims 29 and 37 refer to preceding claims as "method" claims. However, these preceding claims are apparatus claims. It is furthermore improper for a method claim to depend on an apparatus claim. It is not understood what is the limiting effect of claims 29 and 37, which renders these claims as indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 3746

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 14, 15, 18, 19, 23, 24, 25, 28, 29, 30, 34 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Neveux (US 4,210,833). Note ribs or vanes 6 located between connector 4a and hub 4c. Also note circumferential flange 11c that extends radially outwardly from the motor case.

Claims 1 to 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Caplan et al (US 2004/0223845). Note ribs or vanes 42 and the unidentified flange that extends radially outwardly from the motor case.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry relating to patent applications in general should be directed to the Patent Assistance Center at 1-800-786-9199.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Koczo, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4830. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached at 571-272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Koczo, Jr.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3746